The functional significance of the spinose keel structure of benthic foraminifera: inferences from *Miliolina cristata* Millett, 1898 (Miliolida) from northeast Romania

Simina Dumitriţa Dumitriu¹, Zofia Dubicka², and Viorel Ionesi¹

¹Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iaşi, Department of Geology, Carol I Blvd., 20A, 700505, Iaşi, Romania
²University of Warsaw, Faculty of Geology, Al. Żwirki i Wigury 93, 02-089, Warsaw, Poland

Correspondence: Simina Dumitriţa Dumitriu (siminadumitriu@gmail.com)

Published: 11 January 2018

Abstract. The paper presents Miocene (lower Sarmatian) benthic foraminifera from the FH₃P₁ Rădăuţi Core section from the northwestern part of the Moldavian Platform, Romania. Based on foraminiferal assemblages we infer sediments were deposited in shallow-water, including marine-marginal environments, of varying salinities from brackish to normal marine with some short and rather small sea-level changes. Moreover, we describe for the first time in the Moldavian Platform a very rare species, *Miliolina cristata* Millett, which presents a characteristic spinose keel. Based on a detailed study of the test morphology and its variability, observed in picked material as well as in thin sections, we discuss some palaeoecological aspects of these foraminifera. *M. cristata* probably does not constitute a distinctive species, but it is more probable that some miliolid taxa developed such an exoskeletal feature in response to new environmental conditions, such as more turbulent water. Accordingly, our study would support the thesis that one of the functions of the benthic foraminiferal spines is to stabilize foraminiferal tests found in sandy substrates from high-energy environments.

1 Introduction

The systematics of foraminifera, apart from the recently and thoroughly studied molecular data (e.g. Pawlowski et al., 2013), are mostly based on the test morphology (Loeblich and Tappan, 1984, 1987), including the number and shape of the chambers, the chamber arrangement, the shape and position of the aperture, and also the type of ornamentation (Haynes, 1981), which sometimes make the species identification very difficult. The high variability of species may result from the alternation of generations, from the ontogenetic development, or from the variation in environmental conditions, including even seasonal morphological variations (Pawlowski et al., 1994).

A lot of studies deal with the relationship between the morphological variation and environmental parameters such as salinity, temperature, depth, substrate, water motion and even pollution (e.g. Murray, 1963, 1973; Brasier, 1982; Corliss and Fois, 1990; Boltovskoy et al., 1991; Yanko et al., 1994, 1998; Polovodova and Schönfeld, 2008; Buosi et al., 2010; Mellis and Covelli, 2013; Iglikowska and Pawlowska, 2015). Recent studies based on molecular data show significant variability within distinct molecular groups of foraminifera (Pawlowski and Holzman, 2002; Pawlowski et al., 2003; Longet and Pawlowski, 2007) and prove that two different morphotypes can correspond to the same species in spite of their morphological differences (Pillet et al., 2012, 2013). It is widely known that foraminiferal test morphotypes and their microhabitat are linked, which is commonly used as a proxy for palaeoenvironmental studies (e.g. Poag, 1982; Corliss, 1985; Jones and Charnock, 1985; Langer et al., 1989; Linke, 1992; Langer, 1993). It is also believed that benthic foraminifera utilize a variety of skeletal traits to help them adapt to the microhabitat they occupy (e.g. Altenbach et al., 1993; Murray, 1994; Dubicka et al., 2015), such as ornamentation, pseudo-spines, spikes, pustules, ribs, tubes or reticulate surface, which have specific functions, mostly mechanical (Hottinger, 2000). However, the function of many of them is still far from being understood.
The spinose keel role, especially in relation to miliolid taxa, is very poorly understood. This test feature is more often observed in Elphidiidae (Elphidium reginum and Elphidium aculeatum) and is very rare within miliolids. It has only been recorded in a few recent as well as fossil miliolid taxa (e.g. Miliolina cristata, Miliolina excisa, Miliolina calcarea, Pyrgo denticulina, Pyrgo serrata).

This paper first discusses the general function of spines, including the spinose keel, in benthic foraminifera. Moreover, we present the highly variable assemblage of Miliolina cristata, a miliolid species which possesses such a very distinctive test morphology, a spinose keel along the edge of the test, from FH3 P1 Rădăuți Core, Romanian Basin. Until now, the species M. cristata has been recorded in a small number of specimens only in Sarmatian and recent deposits. Curiously, the studied sediment yielded the large number (117) of the specimens occurring in only one sample. This is also the first record of this species in Sarmatian deposits from the Moldavian Platform (northeast Romania).

3 Material and methods

In total 27 samples collected from the FH3 P1 Rădăuți Core, northeast Romania, were analysed for benthic foraminiferal studies. The combined weight of all of the samples was approximately 200 g. The samples were crushed, washed and dried. The residue was sieved through three sieves (0.466, 0.263 and 0.122 mm) and separated into four fractions for easier microfossil analysis. The majority of the studied specimens were identified in the fraction smaller than 0.263 mm. The specimens were handpicked using a Carl Zeiss Jena SM XX binocular stereo microscope. In general, foraminiferal tests are very well preserved. Quantitative analysis were based on representative splits of more than 800 specimens and all benthic foraminifera were counted at a generic level. The relative abundance of particular genera is presented in Fig. 2.
We measured the length and width of the test, aperture and tooth of the best preserved specimens (24) of *Miliolina cristata* (Fig. 3a–c). The length-to-width ratios of the *Miliolina cristata* specimens were also calculated (Fig. 3d).

SEM images were taken by using a Vega/Tescan SEM microscope in the Faculty of Biology, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania. The thin sections of selected specimens were made in the Faculty of Geology, University of Warsaw, Poland. The investigated material is deposited in the Original Paleontological Collections Museum of Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania, under inventory number 7908-8024.

### 4 Results

**Benthic foraminiferal assemblages**

Benthic foraminiferal assemblages of the FH₃P₁ Rădăuți Core section are composed of 28 species, which are listed in Appendix A. The most common taxa belong to the striated miliolids *Cycloforina karreri ovata*, *C. karreri karreri*, and *Cycloforina predcarpatica*; to species of the genus *Elphidium* (e.g. *Elphidium reginum*, *Elphidium reussi*, *Elphidium incertum*); and to the species *Lobatula lobatula*. Numerous specimens of *Ammonia beccarii* were also identified in most of the analysed samples. Barren samples (samples 464, 489 and 467) are also recorded.

Six foraminiferal morphotypes, named from I to VI, were distinguished based on quantitative analysis (Fig. 2). Assemblage I, comprising the largest number of samples (488, 469, 482, 483, 475, 477, 463 and 472), is dominated by the genus *Elphidium*. Assemblage II (samples 490, 486, 480, 478, 468, 490, 476, 474, 470) is similar to Assemblage I in the general taxonomic composition (the occurrence of *Ammonia*, *Articularia*, *Elphidium*, *Quinqueloculina*); however it differs by domination of representatives of the genus *Cycloforina*, especially ribbed species: *C. karreri ovata*, *C. karreri karreri*, *C. predcarpatica*. The abundant occurrence of the species *Ammonia beccarii* characterizes Assemblage III, as it composes 98% of this. The other 2% of the assemblage is represented by the species *Globorotalia miocenica*. Assemblage IV is dominated by the single genera *Pseudotriloculina* (*Pseudotriloculina consobrina*, *Pseu-
dotriloculina nitens), which constitutes almost 99% of the assemblage.

Assemblage V can be observed only in one sample (473, located at a depth of 173 m) and is characterized by the presence of only planktonic foraminifera from the genus Globigerina. Assemblage VI is represented by Lobatula lobatula (60%) followed by species belonging to the genera Quinqueloculina and Varidentella.

The specimens of the species Miliolina cristata have been identified (117 specimens) only in sample 470, located between 184.8 and 186.8 m, and framed in Assemblage II.

5 Description of Miliolina cristata

Suprageneric classification follows Loeblich & Tappan (1987)

Order Miliolida Delage & Hérouard
Suborder Miliolina Delage & Hérouard
Superfamily Milioloidea Ehrenberg
Family Hauerinidae Schwager
Genus Miliolina Williamson, 1858

Miliolina cristata Millett, 1898
(Figs. 4a–h, 5a–d)

1898 Miliolina cristata Millett: 506, pl. 12, figs. 3a–c.
1974 Cycloforina cristata (Millett); Łuczkowska: 76, pl. 13, figs. 7a–c.
1995 Cycloforina cf. cristata (Millett); Popescu: 90, pl. 1, figs. 7–9.
2005 Quinqueloculina cristata (Millett); Rajeshwara Rao et al.; 62, pl. 1, figs. a–c.
2007 Cycloforina cristata (Millett); Schütz et al.: 453, pl. 1, figs. 4a–c.

Type species: Miliolina cristata Millett, 1898, by original designation.

Diagnosis: The test is nearly circular, unequally as dimensions; the chambers are triangular in cross section with the peripheral margin, acute. The last chamber is boldly serrated; aperture with a thickened margin (after Millett, 1898).

Occurrence and age: Early Sarmatian deposits: Moldavian Platform, Râduți Depression, northeast Romania; Zrecze, Poland; Făget Depression, west Romania; southern part of the Vienna Basin, Austria. Recent sediments: Malay Archipelago; Araya, Los Testigos, Caribbean Sea; Cochin, southwest India; Bay of Bengal, southeast India; Chennai, India.
Material: 117 well-preserved specimens

Description: Test calcareous, small and oval with a sharp periphery, thin walls and smooth surface, serrated at the edges. Chambers are slightly inflated; the middle chamber is smaller and presents distinct suture lines. In transversal section, the cambers are triangular. The aperture is circular with a short neck and a simple tooth. The initial growth phase of the tooth can be observed in Fig. 5b.

Measurements: The relatively large number of the specimens recorded allowed us to study the intra-specific variability. We made a series of test measurements on the well-preserved specimens to assess whether there are significant differences in length and width of the test, aperture and tooth. As presented in the Fig. 3a–c, the species do not display major differences regarding the general dimensions of the test, the aperture and the tooth. The test length is from 240 to 420 µm and the test width range between 130 and 280 µm. The aperture lengths vary between 28 and 80 µm and the widths between 28 and 100 µm. The lengths of the apertural teeth (measured in only complete specimens since some of the teeth were broken) vary between 10 and 40 µm and the widths vary between 10 and 20 µm.

By comparing the dimensions of fossil specimens with the recent specimens found by Rajeshwara Rao et al. (2005) in the Bay of Bengal, we noticed that in general the recent specimens have smaller tests than the fossil ones of Miocene age, with a test length which does not exceed 260 µm. We also analysed the ratio between width and length of the test (Fig. 3d). Our results show that for recent specimens the ratio is closer to or even one; for fossil specimens this is generally lower, due to their elongation probably because of the more rounded shape of the recent specimens compared to (fossil) Miocene specimens.

Variability: The variability of the species is marked by test edge, developed as spinose-keel-like, which is well developed in some specimens and poorly developed or even invisible in the other specimens. The spines are irregular in shape; their number varies from 5 to 10 on each chamber. On some specimens the spines are uniformly distributed and they are almost equal in size for each chamber while
Figure 5. View of the interior of *M. cristata* tests. (a, c, d) Thin sections. (b) SEM image with the initial growth phase of the tooth.

Figure 6. Drawings of *M. cristata*. (1a–6a) Front side. (1b–6b) Backside. (1c–6c) Apertural view.
on others the distribution is irregular (Fig. 6). The spinose keel is well visible on the outside as well as the inside of the chambers. Moreover, we notice that the chambers are longitudinally curved with thin and non-lamellar walls and serrated edges, which is characteristic for this species (Fig. 5).

**Remarks:** A distinctive feature is represented by the well-developed spinose keeled edges, which can be very clearly or faintly visible in some specimens.

### 6 Discussion

To understand the ecological preferences of *Miliolina cristata* we analysed the changes of foraminiferal assemblages within the studied succession, since foraminiferal test shapes and their environmental requirements (Murray, 1984). A number of papers discuss the relationship between foraminiferal distribution within lithological successions and their response to their environmental changes (Atkinson, 1971; Murray, 1973, 1991, 2006; Boltovskoy, 1963; Boltovskoy et al., 1991; Haynes, 1981; Iglikowska and Pawlowska, 2015). Even then, some foraminiferal assemblage changes as well as some specific morphological changes recorded in the fossil material are very difficult to interpret and are not always thoroughly discussed.

In the FH3 P1 Răduţi Core section we identified six different foraminiferal assemblages which probably indicate different environmental conditions during sedimentation, especially changeable salinity. The lowest salinity, a brackish environment, seems to be presented by Assemblage III. This assemblage is highly dominated by one species, *Ammonia beccarii* (Linné), which constitutes 98% of the assemblage. In general *Ammonia beccarii* is regarded as a euryhaline species which commonly occurs in brackish and nearshore marine habitats (Hayward and Hollis, 1994). However, recent low-diversity foraminiferal communities dominated by *Ammonia beccarii* are typical brackish water associations of very shallow environments (Brasier, 1982; Cimerman and Langer, 1991). Additionally, the dominance of *Ammonia beccarii* is characteristic of intertidal environments (Scott et al., 1980). The general trend of foraminiferal diversity (species richness) decreases with the decreasing salinity (Culver et al., 2012). The lowest number of species in recent foraminiferal communities is observed in lagoonal or deltaic areas where salinity is reduced to less than 2‰ by freshwater input (Sen Gupta, 2003). Assemblage II, displaying still very low diversity and domination of a few species of *Elphidium*, indicates slightly higher salinity than Assemblage III but still lower than normal marine (compare Hayward and Hollis, 1994). The higher species richness and the abundance of miliolids, which are conspicuously rare in brackish lagoons (Murray, 1968, 2006), represented by the genus *Cycloforina* in assemblages II and IV, indicate increased salinity. Thus, the sediment represented by these foraminiferal assemblages was probably deposited under shallow-water conditions with normal-marine salinity with possible slight fluctuations (compare Filipescu et al., 2014; Roozpeykar and Maghfouri Moghaddam, 2015). Assemblage V, represented only by planktonic species (*Globigerina bulloides* d’Orbigny and *Globorotalia mioceneica* Palmer), displays normal-marine salinity (Hemleben et al., 1989). The appearance in this interval of planktonic forms, which are dominated by *Globigerina* – a shallow-water dweller (e.g. Caron and Homewood, 1983) – reflects slightly deeper conditions (Schiebel et al., 1997) but still quite a shallow environment with a depth of dozens of metres (Hart and Bailey, 1979; Leckie, 1987) within the basin. The disappearance of benthic foraminifera in this sample may suggest unfavourable conditions for benthic organisms at the bottom of the sea, most likely a low-oxygen environment. It can be supported by the lithological changes, such as the appearance of coal, which is essentially buried organic matter (Reading, 1996). The abundant presence of the species *Lobatula lobatula* (Walker & Jacob) in Assemblage VI may indicate normal-marine salinity and a high-energy environment (Peryt and Jasonowski, 2012). Additionally, it probably displays slightly deeper depths than is represented by assemblages I to IV (Klitgaard Kristensen and Serjup, 1996; Murray, 2006). In summary, *M. cristata* occurs only in one sample in the studied sediments, of which the foraminiferal assemblages indicate normal-marine salinity conditions. Thus the species thrived in similar salinities to other fossil and recent specimens.

**Function of spines and spinose keel structures in benthic foraminifera**

It is widely known that benthic foraminifera can develop a variety of exoskeletal test features (e.g. pseudo-spines, spikes, pustules, ribs, cancellate surface) that support adaptation to specific microhabitats (Wright, 1968; Murray, 1973, 1991; Boltovskoy and Wright, 1976; Poag, 1982; Boltovskoy et al., 1991; Altenbach et al., 1993; Langer, 1993; Hottinger, 2000). Spines are quite often observed in Rotalida (*Buliminidae* (*Bulimina echinata*, *Bulimina mexicana*, *Bulimina striata*, *Bulimina marginata*, *Bulimina aculeata*), Calcarinidae (*Calcarina mayorii*, *Calcarina defrancii*, *Calcarina gaudichaudii*, *Calcarina hispida*, *Calcarina spengleri*), Elphidiidae (*E. regium* and *E. aculeatum*) and Lagenida (*Vagnulinidae* (*Lenticulina costata*, *Lenticulina denticulifera*, *Lenticulina formosa*, *Lenticulina echinata*, *Fissurina longispina*, *Fissurina unguiculata*)), and are much more rare within Miliolida. Until now, there have only been a few miliolid species described which possess such ornaments: *Miliolina cristata*, *Miliolina excisa*, *Miliolina calcarata*, *Pyrgo denticulina* and *Pyrgo serrata*. 
Spines are generally believed to serve different functions in benthic foraminifera. They can be related to pseudopodial flow to deflect pseudopodia away from the shell (Hottinger, 2000). This is well seen in highly motile infaunal taxa, such as bolivinids and buliminids, which possess a whole test covered by the ornament, in which the pseudopodial sheath envelopes the shell on all sides and serves as a kind of burrowing motility (Hottinger, 2000). The other function of this radial dimension pseudopodial flow provided by spines can be to attach to substrates. This attachment system has been observed in various epiphytic species (Langer, 1993) such as the species *Elphidium aculeatum* in which spines may aid efficient extrusion of pseudopodial networks. Langer (1993) shows that microhabitat and substrate preferences of epiphytic foraminifera are usually reflected in the test shape. Adaptive features developed by foraminifera serving as devices for the substrate attachment have been identified not only in recent epiphytic foraminifera but also in their fossil counterparts. The species *Vasiglobulina reticulata* developed an advanced mode of attachment by extending several calcified acicular “pillars” or “stelae”, which are not present in the motile phenotype of this species, and can be constructed at any place on the external part of the test (for details see Poag, 1982). In addition, Peryt and Jasionowski (2012, cf. Tóth et al., 2010) state that the long spines at the periphery of the test of the species *Elphidium regimum* are a morphological adaptation from the algal to seagrass substrate. Moreover, the spines of calcariinids (symbiont-bearing so-called larger foraminifera), associated with a radially arranged canal system, are necessary for pseudopodia formation, excretion, attachment to the substratum, protection and probably also growth and reproduction (Röttger and Krüger, 1990). Additionally, the spines and spicules of some benthic foraminifera may serve as protective devices or may directly stabilize their shell in mobile sediments (Boltovskoy and Wright, 1976; Hottinger, 2000). The relationship between the substrate and the test morphology has been recognized, especially in agglutinated foraminifera, but also in some calcareous forms (e.g. Saidova, 1953; Thayer, 1975; Boltovskoy and Wright, 1976). For instance, Boltovskoy and Wright (1976) suggested that the spines and/or spicules of the benthic species *Baculogypsina sphaerulata* and *Meandroloculina aculeata* may be used as a protective device or may serve as a mechanism for maintaining stability on soft bottoms. Hottinger (2000) shows that some foraminiferal species like *Brizalina simpsoni*, which live in sandy coarse-grained sediments, can develop ribs or pseudospines for maintaining their position among the grains when the foraminifera harvests the meioflora or fauna for food. Medioli and Scott (1978) also postulated that *Discanomalina semipunctata* formed irregular spines to better adapt to living in coarse sediments within a high-energy environment, and Delaca and Lipps (1972) suggest that the species *Rosalina globularis* attaches to the substrate by organic membrane as an adaption to high-energy environments.

Similar test adaptations to highly turbulent conditions were marked by Lipina (1961), who proposed the general rule that benthic foraminifera occupying highly turbulent environments display some kind of reinforcements, such as supplementary endoskeletons and thicker walls. In addition, Langer and Lips (2003) claim that the different test ornamentation (e.g. strongly thickened peripheral margins, massive ribs, robust spines) on some foraminifera species is also a morphological adaptation to turbulence and high-energy water. Moreover, Arslan et al. (2016) and Burke and Hull (2017) sustain the fact that species of foraminifera which present a keel are more resistant to breakage and this is the reason why they are more abundant in shallow waters and in sandy substrates.

The functions of test ornaments (including spines) of miliolids are very poorly understood. Since miliolids possess porcellaneous imperforate tests, the spine functions are not in association with pseudopodial flow. Most of the interpretations of these miliolid exoskeletal features are apparently related to the test stabilization in sandy sediments. For instance, Bermúdez and Seiglie (1963) found some regularity in the miliolids of the Gulf of Carico, Venezuela, which is that the species which occupy a sandy substrate possess striated, polygonal and wedge-shaped chambers, whereas those occupying clayey sediments are characterized by unornamented and smooth tests. Moreover, Bogdanowicz (1952) similarly postulated that miliolid taxa living in turbulent water often possess thick walls, a persistent rim and fimbrate sutures. In general the recent keeled *M. cristata* was recorded from sandy and high-energy environments (Seibold, 1975; Seibold and Seibold, 1981), as are taxa such as *Miliolina calcarata* and *M. excisa*, which are very similar to the species *Miliolina cristata* (Brady et al., 1888; Heron-Allen and Earland, 1922).

Some benthic foraminifera can also develop other structures such as pustules and tubes for food acquisition; however there is no record of this function in relation to spines. For example, the miliolid species *Miliolina subrotunda* constructs a long detritic tube at the top of the test which allows the organism to feed in the particle stream above the sediment (Altenbach et al., 1993).

*Miliolina cristata* Millett

*Miliolina cristata* Millett is a miliolid species which possesses very distinctive test morphology, namely it forms a spinose keel, along the edge of the test. This taxon is very rare within Miocene as well as recent sediments and is recorded for the first time in Sarmatian deposits from Moldavian Platform. Until now, this species has been reported in small numbers in Sarmatian deposits from Zrecze, Poland (Łuczkowska, 1974), the Făget Depression, Romania (Popescu, 1995), and from the southern part of the Vienna Basin (Schütz et al., 2007). The authors assigned this species to the genus *Cycloforina*. In recent sediments, this
taxon is also very rare. Millett (1898) identified one specimen (the holotype) in the Malay Archipelago and named the taxon *Miliolina cristata*. Later, this foraminifer was found only by Seiglie (1966, 1967 after Culver and Buzas, 1982) in the shelf sediments from Araya, Los Testigos, Caribbean Sea, by Seibold (1975), in the near-shore area of Cochin (southwest India), and by Rajeshwara Rao et al. (2005) in the Bay of Bengal and Suresh Gandhi et al. (2013), who identified this species in estuarine sediments from Chennai. All the authors who have identified this species in recent sediments attributed it to the genus *Quinqueloculina*.

In the studied material a large number of *Miliolina cristata* (117 specimens) have been found in only one sample (470). The reason for the appearance of these unique spinose foraminifera remains unresolved. Some specific favourable environmental conditions for *M. cristata* must have appeared. The curious thing about this species is whether *M. cristata* is a new species as described by Millett (1898) or whether the spinose edge is a morphological adaptation as a response to an appearance of some new environmental conditions of the miliolid species living in the basin. We suspect that *Miliolina cristata* could be an ecophenotype of some miliolid species, possibly *Quinqueloculina akneriana* since this species presents a higher degree of variability and many transitional forms (for details see Łuczkowska, 1974). Test construction in foraminifera seems to be particularly sensitive to environmental conditions (Yanko et al., 1998; Samir and El-Din, 2001) and some of the foraminiferal species are known to display ecophenotypes (Szczezurza, 1982, 2000; Moodley and Hess, 1992; Kitazato et al., 2000; Murray, 2006; Tsuchiya et al., 2008, 2009) as a result of specific habitat or changes in the environmental conditions (Haynes, 1991). For example, some specimens of the species *Quinqueloculina milleti* develop a great variation in their surface ornamentation under different conditions, especially salinity and pollution (Wright, 1968). Moreover, Boltovskoy and Wright (1976) show some specimens of the species *Bulimina patagonica* with and without spines (described by Cushman and Wickenden, 1929, as *Bulimina patagonica* var. *glabra*). Boltovskoy and Wright (1976) also recorded some specimens of *B. patagonica* having only a few spines or even no spinose ornamentation. Tsuchiya et al. (2009) reveal that pore morphology of the species *Virgulinella fragilis* depends on environmental conditions similarly to the pores of the species *Ammonia beccarii* (see Moodley and Hess, 1992). In addition Kitazato et al. (2000) show that the presence of the peripheral spines of the benthic species *Planoglabratella nakamura* varies with the growth stage and with the environmental conditions. Accordingly, the test ornamentation is not always a diagnostic species feature and thus *Miliolina cristata* can possibly be an ecophenotype of the species *Quinqueloculina akneriana* as a result of the appearance of new palaeoenvironmental conditions.

If the taxon was a distinct species it would have migrated from other parts of the basin. The possible area from where the taxon could have migrated is difficult to assess since the species is extremely rare. In the entire Carpathian foreland basin, it was noticed only in very short intervals in three other localities of Poland, Romania and Austria (Łuczkowska, 1972; Popescu, 1995; Schütz et al., 2007). Apart from the Sarmatian, the species occurs only in the recent deposits of the Malay Archipelago, Caribbean Sea, and off southwest India, and therefore there are not enough data to discuss its possible migration path and palaeobiogeography. However, the exact function of the spinose morphological feature is still unclear. In general, miliolids are commonly known as epifaunal and epiphytic organisms that have low requirements with respect to water salinity. They can thrive in normal-marine environments such as open-shelf, brackish and hypersaline lagoons, marine marsh and even, though seldom, bathyal depths (mostly *Pyrgo*). Nevertheless, their frequent occurrences are restricted to distinctive depths and temperatures (Łuczkowska, 1972, 1974; Neagu, 1979; Murray, 1991, 2006; Sen Gupta, 2003).

The fossil specimens of *M. cristata* were described from the clays and marls; however, the authors did not present the lithology in detail, nor did they discuss the palaeoecology of the species, rather focusing only on the foraminiferal taxonomy and stratigraphy. *M. cristata* in the Polish Basin (Łuczkowska, 1972) co-occurs with many other miliolid taxa (*Varidentella pseudocostata* (Venglinski), *Varidentella rotunda* (Gerke), *Articulina problema* (Bogdanowicz)) and many elphidiids (*Elphidium josephinum* (d’Orbigny) and *Elphidium jukuovi* Serova), suggesting normal-marine salinity conditions.

The ecology of the recent spinose miliolid *M. cristata* was postulated based on findings of empty tests, and there is no reference to live specimens (Millett, 1898; Seibold, 1975; Rajeshwara Rao et al., 2005; Suresh Gandhi et al., 2013). Seibold (1975) identified the species *M. cristata* in the Cochin area, southwest India, in one sample, collected from offshore sandy deposits of 20–30 m depth, and roughly 19 km from the shoreline. According to Seibold and Seibold (1981) this area is represented by a high-energy environment strongly influenced by the southwest monsoon, which begins in May/June and ends in August/October. Due to abundant rainfall in June and July (1500 mm), the salinity of surface offshore water, which normally is 34.5 ‰, is lowered to 15–20 and even 8 ‰ close to the shoreline. Rajeshwara Rao et al. (2005) identify the species *Miliolina cristata* in the Bengal Bay shelf sands, between 21 and 38 m depth. The authors claim that this species is probably epifaunal, preferring a sandy substratum, and is perhaps confined to the inner shelf. Suresh Gandhi et al. (2013) also described the species from the sandy sediments of Adyar River from Chennai, India. Accordingly, in general the recent *M. cristata* was found in sandy and high-energy environments. This is in accordance with the one of the main spine functions, interpreted from different taxa, which is to maintain test position among the coarse-grained sediments within a high-
energy environment (Poag, 1982; Röttger and Krüger, 1990; Hottinger, 2000; Medioli and Scott, 1978) (see the second part of the discussion). In the studied material the species *M. cristata* also occurs in sandy-grained sediments (clays with sandy intercalations), which indicate a temporary energy increase within the basin. Moreover, the next sample, located less than 1 m below, is dominated by *Lobatula lobatula* what strongly supports a high-energy environment being present in this interval (Murray, 2006). Accordingly, the species *M. cristata* recorded in the studied material may develop this spinose keel as a response to the appearance of sandy substratum and a higher-energy environment similarly to recent counterparts (Seibold, 1975; Seibold and Seibold, 1981; Rajeshwara Rao et al., 2005; Suresh Gandhi et al., 2013); however we cannot exclude other alternatives. The other possible option would be that the spines serve as attachment devices just as in epiphytic calcarinids or elphidiids.

7 Conclusions

The present study analyses the foraminiferal assemblages from FH3P1 Rădăşti Core Section, from the northwestern part of the Moldavian Platform, Romania. Twenty-seven samples are analysed, and we identified more than 800 specimens belonging to 28 foraminifera, which have been grouped into six assemblages. The foraminiferal assemblages are mainly dominated by the presence of rotaliids and miliolids indicating shallow water and even marginal marine environments. Changes in benthic foraminiferal assemblage composition display fluctuations of salinity during sedimentation from brackish to normal marine. Some short and rather small sea-level changes are also observed, characterized by the increase in the sea level.

We present, for the first time from the Moldavian Platform, a very rare miliolid species, *Miliolina cristata*, which is represented by 117 specimens recorded only in one sample. This species has been described so far in only a few papers: from Sarmatian deposits from Poland, Romania and Austria and from recent sediments from the Malay Archipelago, Caribbean Sea and India. The species *M. cristata* possesses a distinctive morphological feature which is very rare within the miliolids: a spinose keel at the periphery of the test. The detailed analyses of test morphology and its variability, observed in the picked specimens as well as in thin sections, revealed the fact that the development of this special morphological feature was probably a response to the appearance of new, more turbulent environmental conditions. Our observations support the assumption that the miliolid spinose keel served to stabilize the foraminiferal test in the sandy substrate in relatively high-energy environments. We also suspect that *Miliolina cristata* could be an ecophenotype of some other miliolid species, most probably *Quinqueloculina akneriana*.

**Data availability.** All data are included in this paper.
Appendix A: Taxonomical appendix

Taxonomic reference list for all species recorded in the studied section. The species are listed alphabetically by genus name.

1. Ammonia beccarii (Linné, 1758)
2. Articularia karreriella (Venglinski, 1958)
3. Cycloforina flaviata (Venglinski, 1958)
4. Cycloforina predcarpatica (Serova, 1955)
5. Cycloforina karreri ovata (Serova, 1955)
6. Cycloforina karreri karreri (Reuss, 1869)
7. Elphidium aculeatum (d’Orbigny, 1846)
8. Elphidium incertum Williamson, 1858
9. Elphidium macellum macellum (Fitchel and Moll, 1803)
10. Elphidium regium d’Orbigny, 1846
11. Elphidium reussi Marks, 1951
12. Elphidium subumbilicatum Czjzek, 1848
13. Elphidiella serena (Venglinski, 1958)
14. Globigerina bulloides d’Orbigny, 1846
15. Globorotalia miocenica Palmer, 1945
16. Lobatula lobatula (Walker and Jacob, 1978)
17. Miliolina cristata Millett, 1898
18. Nodobaculariella ovalis Venglinski, 1958
19. Nodobaculariella sulcata (Reuss, 1850)
20. Nonion bogdanowiczi Voloshinova, 1952
21. Porosononion subgranulosus (Egger, 1857)
22. Pseudotriloculina consobrina (d’Orbigny, 1846)
23. Pseudotriloculina consobrina nitens (Reuss, 1850)
24. Quinqueloculina akneriana d’Orbigny, 1846
25. Quinqueloculina akneriana argunica Gerke, 1938
26. Quinqueloculina minakovae ukrainica Didkowski, 1961
27. Varidentella pseudocostata (Venglinski, 1958)
28. Varidentella rotunda (Gerke, 1938)
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