Nannofossils from the Middle Eocene Sabiñánigo Sandstone Formation in the Jaca Basin (southern Pyrenees): biostratigraphy and paleoenvironmental implications
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Abstract. This study presents the first detailed data on calcareous nannofossil assemblages from the Sabiñánigo Sandstone Formation in the Jaca Basin (central south Pyrenees). This formation is mainly composed of deltaic and outer-shelf sediments. These siliciclastic deposits contain nannofossil assemblages that are moderately to well-preserved, particularly in fine-grained levels. They contain a calcareous nannofossil assemblage dominated by the species Cyclicargolithus floridanus, Coccolithus pelagicus, Coccolithus formosus, Clausicoccus fenestratus, Zygrhablithus bijugatus, and several species of Sphenolithus and Chiasmolithus. The biostratigraphic results enabled the characterization of the Middle Eocene biohorizons, based on global stratigraphic scales and the improvement of the temporal correlation and lateral evolution of this basin’s deposits. The sedimentary sequence of the Sabiñánigo Sandstone was deposited during the Middle Eocene, between the upper part of biozone NP16 and the base of NP17, in the Bartonian. The calcareous nannoplankton assemblage suggests warm and oligotrophic surface waters for the Bartonian interval in the Jaca Basin.

1 Introduction

The global Eocene climatic evolution from greenhouse to icehouse conditions (see the compilation in Henehan et al., 2019) contains several events which have a variable impact on the biotic record. These changes are rather well understood for the deep oceanic settings (Bohaty et al., 2009; Boscolo Galazzo et al., 2013, 2014; Moebius et al., 2014; Stokke et al., 2020), but shallow marine environments have been largely overlooked. These latter environments can potentially shed light on bioevents controversies, such as those taking place during the Middle Eocene (ca. 40 Ma).

The Sabiñánigo Sandstone (southern Pyrenees, Spain) is a good location to explore such events in deltaic settings. This sandstone is an excellent guide level, consisting of fine sand and silt deposits intercalated with clays and silts of an outer shelf which outcrops in the northernmost area of the Jaca Basin (Pyrenees, Spain). So far, biostratigraphic data from the Sabiñánigo Sandstone are very limited. Canudo and Molina (1988) studied planktonic foraminifera in this basin and placed the Sabiñánigo Sandstone at the base of the Bartonian (T. rohri zone, which defines P13–P14, according to the Blow (1979) scale). Puigdefábregas (1975) associates this formation with the strata of the Capan Formation of the Biarritzian age (between the Lutetian and Bartonian). All magnetostratigraphic studies placed the Sabiñánigo Sandstone in the C18n–C18r polarity reversal (Bartonian), e.g., Oms et al. (2003) at Latas section and Hogan and Burbank (1996) and Vinyoles et al. (2021) at the nearby Yebra de Basa section.
2 Geology settings and stratigraphy

The Jaca Basin, also known as the Jaca–Pamplona Basin, is part of the south Pyrenean foreland basin system that developed west of the Boltaña Anticline (Fig. 1). The structural characteristics of the Jaca Basin have been established by Seguret (1972), Labaume (1983), Labaume et al. (1985), Cámara and Klimowith (1985), Teixell (1996), Teixell and García-Sansegundo (1995), Cámara and Flinch (2017), and Labaume and Teixell (2018). The basin extends along a broad, complex, and asymmetric syncline with an ESE–WNW direction, whose limbs have different structural and stratigraphic characteristics. The axis of the syncline, which contains the Jaca Basin, is determined by the position of the Paleozoic cutoff of the Guarga thrust.

The Eocene marine clastic sedimentation of the northern Guarga syncline is dominated by the deep systems of the Hecho Group, which has been divided into the Lower Hecho Group and Upper Hecho Group by Remacha et al. (2003). The youngest representative of the Upper Hecho Group is the Rapitán system (Remacha et al., 1987, 1991, 2003) (Fig. 3), dated as Bartonian by Oms et al. (2003) and Gonzalez-Lanchas et al. (2019). The Rapitán system transitions vertically and transitionally to the Larrés Marls (Remacha et al., 1987), consisting of very thin turbiditic and shaly layers corresponding to a slope fan. The top of the Larrés Marls evolves progressively to the Sabiñánigo Sandstone.

The Sabiñánigo Sandstone was defined by Hehuwat (1970) and has been studied and/or mapped by Puigdefábregas (1975), Remacha et al. (1987), Remacha and Picart (1991), Lafont (1994), Gil-Peña et al. (1990), Montes-Santiago (2002), Bauer (2007), and Boya (2018). As growth strata show, the Sabiñánigo Sandstone was confined to the north by a relief resulting from the early Oturia thrust (whose present location is due to a reactivation). Several pulses of this structure are likely to have been the main controllers of the sequential arrangement of the Sabiñánigo Sandstone.

The Sabiñánigo Sandstone Formation at the Latas section

The studied stratigraphic section (Latas section, lat. 42°31.278′N, long. 0°19.614′W) is exposed on the road between the localities of Sabiñánigo and Lárrede in the province of Huesca, Spain. This site was introduced by Remacha and Picart (1991), who described a 130 m thick section displaying high-quality outcrops that allow a continuous facies analysis. The main lithologies are fine sands, clays, and silts that are here represented in the stratigraphic section (Figs. 3 and 4).

The lowest 2 m of the studied section consists of calcareous coarse-grained siltstones and fine-grained sandstones intercalated with shaly marls. Occasionally, the sandstone presents hummocky cross-stratification and wave and current ripples, and their marly sections may reach regions close to the platform edge.

Above this are 20 m of cycles that typically begin with marly fine-grained sandstone and siltstone, passing up into coarse-grained sandstone. In the lowest part of this unit, there is a distinctive stratum with ball-and-pillow structure, which also conserves hummocky cross-stratification, planar cross-stratification, and wave and current ripples. These cycles (Remacha and Picart, 1991) are typically interpreted as delta front deposits (delta lobes).

Around 23 m from the bottom of the section, the facies change and begin with a bioclastic and bioturbated sandstone bed, followed by calcareous gray fine-grained sandstones intercalated with fine-grained siltstones, with marly divisions at the base that gradually disappear toward the top. The top of this unit has decametric and metric beds of massive fine-grained siltstones and sandstones. The basal, middle, and upper parts of this unit (marked with an asterisk in Figs. 3 and 4) contain, among other things, layers of benthic foraminifera of the genera Nummulites and Assilina.

At 82 m from the bottom of the section, the features of the unit are similar to those described above in the first 20 m of the section. Unlike the beds of the lower unit, these deposits maintain their waxing and waning character, and in many cases, there are incomplete sequences at the base due to erosion.

The upper Sabiñánigo Sandstone Formation has a comparatively more monotonous character, with coarse-grained sandstones alternating with shales. These facies also present, to some extent, similar characteristics to those described above in the first 20 m of the section. This unit also has a stratum with ball-and-pillow structure, and the beds preserve hummocky cross-stratification, planar cross-stratification, wave and current ripples, mega ripples, and climbing ripples. In the uppermost part, there is a significant increase in shale. These observations indicate that such delta front deposits record a transition between distributary mouth bars and distributary channel deposits. Refinements by Boya (2018) considered muddier intervals (meters 23 to 82) to record other shelf environments, while the sandier delta front intervals (from the base to meter 23 and meter 82 to the top) also identified hyperpycnal processes and delta lobe deposition.

3 Materials and methods

The Latus section is ca. 130 m thick, and a total of 40 samples were collected from fine-grain rock levels, such as pelagic shales and siltstone, where mixing with reworked materials was minimal. The calcareous nannofossil samples were prepared using the settling method of Flores and Sierro (1997). The samples were analyzed under a LEICA DMRXE polarized microscope at 1000× and 1250× magnification. To estimate the abundance of nannofossils in the samples, around 500 specimens (autochthonous and reworked) were counted.
Figure 1. Geological context of the study section. Top: schematic map of the location of the southern Pyrenees and the Jaca Basin. Center: geological map of the Jaca Basin showing the main stratigraphic units; the star marks the location of the Sabiñánigo Sandstone Formation in the study area, as exposed along the road from Sabiñánigo (Sardas Bridge) to Lárrede. Bottom: cross section of the Jaca Basin and the location of the Latas section. Modified from Labaume and Teixell (2018).
and classified in each smear slide. This procedure was carried out due to the high percentage of reworked material and to guarantee the recognition of every taxon exceeding 1% of the total assemblage (Denison and Hay, 1967; Flores and Sierro, 1997).

The abundance patterns of biostratigraphically significant taxa are expressed as percentages (%) calculated in relation to the total number of nannofossils in each sample.

The taxonomic criteria followed are mainly based on “Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils” (Perch-Nielsen, 1985), Calcareaeous nannofossil biostratigraphy (Bown, 1998), and the updated online dataset Nannotax (https://www.mikrotax.org/Nannotax3/index.html, last access: 18 January 2024). In this study, calcareous nannofossils include all heterococcoliths, holococcoliths, and nannoliths incertae sedis.

Cretaceous and Paleocene taxa were readily classified as reworked. Also, several nannofossil species belonging to the Early Eocene NP10 to NP14 zones were identified. These included Toweius (e.g., T. pertusus, T. gammadion), Tribrichia tus orthostylus, Chiasmolithus bidens, and some discocasters (e.g., D. araneus and D. multiradiatus) and are interpreted as reworked taxa in the current study.

The percentage of autochthonous assemblages was calculated by dividing the number of autochthonous specimens by the total number (autochthonous and reworked) of calcareous nannofossils. The complete list of the taxa identified in the Latas section is available in Appendix A.

For the biostratigraphic analysis, bioevents proposed in the Martini (1971) and Agnini et al. (2014) biozonation schemes were used, following the nomenclature of the Lowest Occurrence (LO), Lowest Common Occurrence (LCO), Highest Common Occurrence (HCO), and Highest Occurrence (HO). Also, a few additional stratigraphic biomarkers described by Fornaciari et al. (2010) and Bown and Dunkley Jones (2012) were identified. Additionally, biochronology was developed using the recalibrated ages of Gradstein et al. (2020).

For the paleoenvironmental analysis, only the temperature parameter was considered. The other factors controlling the distribution of calcareous nannofossils could be altered by the variability in the Sabiñánigo Sandstone depositional environment (deltaic environment). Sea surface water temperature indicator taxa were identified and counted in one round, and considering that all genera with similar temperature affinities showed the same trends throughout the section, they were grouped and expressed as a ratio (warm and oligotrophic / temperate and eutrophic taxa). The percentage of C. floridanus was calculated by dividing the numbers of this taxon by the total number of autochthonous specimens in each sample.

4 Results

4.1 Calcareous nannofossils assemblages

A total of 60 taxa were identified in the samples from the Latas section (see Appendix A), of which 35 were autochthonous and 25 were reworked. Images of the stratigraphic biomarkers and environmentally significant species are shown in Fig. 2.

In the studied section, the calcareous nannofossil content is predominantly moderate, with some levels of high concentration. The assemblages are characterized by high abundances of Coccolithus pelagicus, Coccolithus formosus, Clasrococcus fenestra, Tetricarthropolithus floridanus, and Zygrhablithus bijugatus. Other frequently identified species include Cribrocentrum reticulatum, Reticulofenestra bisecta (<10 µm), and Reticulofenestra stavensis (>10 µm).

Reticulofenestra umbilicus (>14 µm) is consistently present throughout the interval, Braarudosphaera bigelowii and Blackites stitus are common, and Pemman sp. and Heliococphaera bramletti are rare and sporadic. Another common genus of the assemblage is Sphenolithus, which is mainly represented by S. radians, S. moriformis, and S. spiniger, with a rare occurrence of S. obtusus. Chiasmolithus is generally low in abundance and is represented by C. soli tus, C. medius, and C. grandis.

Reworked taxa occur throughout the Latas section at significant abundances (an average of 54%). Cretaceous and Paleocene reworked taxa are mainly represented by Watznaueria barnesiae, Cretarhabdus spp., Eiiffelithus spp., and Toweius pertusus (Fig. 3). A full list of the taxa is given in Appendix A.

Preservation

Calcareous nannofossil preservation is generally moderate to good. This estimation is based on the features observed in taxa susceptible to dissolution and overgrowth, such as Zygrhablithus bijugatus and some species of Reticulofenestra.

However, some Chiasmolithus and Reticulofenestra umbilicus specimens were observed with evidence of dissolution in the central area, and only complete specimens with the central cross were considered. Furthermore, in the samples from Interval D, specimens of Sphenolithus obtusus show a weak overgrowth at the base.

4.2 Paleoeoecology

Cribocentrum, Reticulofenestra, and Cyclicargolithus are cosmopolitan genera related to temperate eutrophic water environments in upwelling and continental discharge zones (Okada and Honjo, 1973; Wei and Wise, 1990; Flores et al., 1995; Toffanin et al., 2011). Cyclicargolithus floridanus made up ca. 21% of the autochthonous assemblage in the Latas section. The genus Chiasmolithus is expected to be
present for cold, nutrient-rich conditions (Perch-Nielsen, 1985; Intxauspe-Zubiaurre, 2018).

*Zygrhablithus bijugatus* is a consistent component of the autochthonous assemblage of the Sabiñánigo Sandstone, accounting for ca. 14% of the total abundance and is usually considered a good indicator of warm and oligotrophic waters (Burky, 1971; Wei and Wise, 1990; Intxauspe-Zubiaurre, 2018; Soták, 2021).

*Discoaster* represents warm saline oligotrophic conditions (Agnini et al., 2006), and the genus *Blackites* is considered by some authors to be a warm-water indicator (Wei and Wise, 1990; Agnini et al., 2007). Genus *Sphenolithus* develops in warm and oligotrophic waters in open-ocean environments (Wei and Wise, 1990; Aubry, 1992; Intxauspe-Zubiaurre et al., 2017; Soták, 2021).

*Coccolithus pelagicus* has an important and continuous abundance throughout the study section. At the present day, *C. pelagicus* is found in cold, high-latitude environments (McIntyre and Bé, 1967; Parente et al., 2004; Intxauspe-Zubiaurre, 2018). However, in the Eocene, it appears to have been more abundant at low and mid-latitudes in warm-water conditions (Wei and Wise, 1990). This migration to higher latitudes occurred in the Oligocene, the cause of which is unknown (Haq and Lohmann, 1976; Bukry, 1973). *Coccolithus formosus* is also an indicator of high temperatures; however, it seems more likely to be cosmopolitan (Wei and Wise, 1990).

Therefore, two calcareous nannofossil assemblages with distinct temperature affinities could be identified throughout the formation. The temperate and eutrophic water assemblage, represented by *Cribocentrum* spp., *Reticulofenestra* spp., *Cyclicargolithus floridanus*, and *Chiasmolithus* spp., and the warm and oligotrophic water assemblage, composed of *Zygrhablithus bijugatus*, *Discoaster* spp., *Blackites* spp., *Sphenolithus* spp., *Coccolithus pelagicus*, and *Coccolithus formosus*. The ratio of the abundance between the two groups is plotted in Fig. 3.

### 4.3 Stratigraphic succession

There appear to be five distinct intervals of the proportion of reworked vs. autochthonous assemblage change across the section studied. Furthermore, these intervals are marked by the presence of significant taxa. These bioevents were recorded according to the nomenclature of the Lowest Occurrence (LO), and Highest Occurrence (HO).
The following paragraphs represent short remarks about the stratigraphic variations in assemblages in the Latas section, which are arranged from base to top.

Interval A (0–2 m) is found at the base of the Latas section. The HO of *Chiasmolithus solitus* occurs within this interval. Other significant taxa such as *Sphenolithus radians* and *S. spiniger* are recorded. The autochthonous fossil assemblages represent an average of 27 %, and reworked taxa made up 73 % of total taxa in this interval.

In interval B (2–13 m), the overall assemblage composition and characteristics are similar to Interval A. The proportion of autochthonous specimens increased to an average of 33 % in Interval B, and reworked taxa slightly decreased to ca. 66 %. In addition, the presence of *Braarudosphaera bigelowii*, *Cribrocentrum reticulatum*, *Chiasmolithus grandis*, and *Sphenolithus moriformis* are recorded, with increasing abundance towards the top of the section.

Within Interval C (13–63 m), the presence of *Chiasmolithus medius*, *Reticulofenestra bisecta*, and *Reticulofenestra stavensis* is recognized. In the same way, the autochthonous taxa increased to 46 %, and reworked specimens represent an average of 54 % of total taxa in this interval.

In Interval D (63–88 m), around 79 and 89 m at the top of the Latas section, the taxon *Sphenolithus obtusus* (LO) is recorded. Furthermore, the LOS of *Helicosphaera brasnicens* and *Pemma basquense* are identified and are consistently present toward the top of the section. The autochthonous fossil assemblage increased slightly to 50 % and reworked taxa constituted an average of 50 % of total taxa. Also, layers of larger benthic foraminifera of the genera *Nummulites* and *Asilina* are recorded between the C and D intervals.

In Interval E (88–130 m), the calcareous nanofossil assemblages are similar to the rest of the section; however, the abundance of taxa decreased slightly towards the top. The autochthonous fossils represent an average of 55 %, and reworked specimens increased to 45 %.

The percentage of reworked and autochthonous assemblage is plotted in Fig. 3. The stratigraphic distribution of the most significant taxa and the bioevents identified are combined to make up a composite chart shown in Fig. 4.

5 Discussion

5.1 Biostratigraphy

For this study, several calcareous nanofossil bioevents have been identified, which in turn correspond to the bioevents and biozonation of Agnini et al. (2014) and Martini (1971). Moreover, some stratigraphic biomarkers described by Fornaciari et al. (2010) and Bown and Dunkley Jones (2012) have been recorded, supporting the correlation with the standard schemes. This study used the same section that was studied for magnetostratigraphy by Oms et al. (2003), and so their magnetostratigraphic results can be combined with our biostratigraphic data.

The HO of *Chiasmolithus solitus* defines the NP16/NP17 boundary (Martini, 1971), and for Agnini et al. (2014), this bioevent corresponds to a range that extends throughout the lower–middle part of zone CNE15 (*D. bisectus–S. obtusus* Concurrent Range Zone (CRZ)). This index species is rare or absent in low-latitude sediments, and other authors have considered this event to be highly diachronous at different latitudes (Perch-Nielsen, 1985; Wei and Wise, 1990; Aubry, 1992; Marino and Flores, 2002; Fornaciari et al., 2010). However, a scarce record of this specimen is identified in the lowest part of the Latas section, above the upper Larrés Marls Formation dated to 40.51 Ma by González-Lanchas et al. (2019). This identification allows us to infer the base of zone CNE15 and the top of zone NP16 in the Bartonian.

The common presence of *Reticulofenestra stavensis* (Levin and Joergensen, 1967; Varol, 1989) and *Sphenolithus spiniger* is recorded in the CNE15 zone (*D. bisectus–S. obtusus* CRZ) of Agnini et al. (2014) in the top of chron C18r. This assemblage of *Reticulofenestra stavensis* and *Sphenolithus spiniger* was identified in the middle–lower part of the Latas section. Furthermore, this identification in the Sabinánigo Sandstone is consistent with chron assignations by Oms et al. (2003), who define the same polarity pattern for this part of the section. *Chiasmolithus grandis* and *Chiasmolithus medius* have also been found in these beds. In addition, a high abundance of *Sphenolithus radians* was observed in the assemblage zone with *C. grandis* and *C. medius*. All these observations support the correspondence of the lowest part of CNE15 and NP17 zones in the Bartonian for this part of the section.

The LO of *Sphenolithus obtusus* developed within NP17 (Bown and Dunkley Jones, 2012) and was dated at 40.13 Ma at the ODP (Ocean Drilling Program) Atlantic site 1052 within a core interval that had an undetermined polarity (Fornaciari et al., 2010) corresponding to the lower part of zone CNE15 (Agnini et al., 2014). The LO of *Sphenolithus obtusus* was observed in the middle–upper part of the Latas section, supporting the change to the base of zone NP17 in the Martini (1971) biozonation scheme and in agreement with the middle–lower part of zone CNE15 in the Bartonian. However, there is only a scarce record of *S. obtusus*, and the specimens are slightly recrystallized. Therefore, this bioevent should be considered with caution. The middle–upper part of the Latas section was assigned to the same chron C18n by Oms et al. (2003).

The HO of *Sphenolithus obtusus* was dated at 38.47 Ma in chron C17r (Agnini et al., 2014) and defines the top of zone CNE15. The LO of *Chiasmolithus oamaruensis* defines the top of zone NP17, according to Martini (1971), and the absence of these taxa in the samples studied allows us to interpret this section as being older than this date (Fig. 4).

Regarding the age of LO of *S. obtusus*, we can obviously say that is younger than 40.073 Ma (age of C18r/C18n2n reversal) and older than 39.666 Ma (C18n2/C18r reversal). Precision on the age of this bioevent can be gained by inte-
Figure 3. Stratigraphic distribution and relative abundance of the calcareous nannofossils found throughout the studied section. Left: simplified stratigraphy of the Latas section. Center: fluctuations in the abundance of the calcareous nannofossil (CN) assemblage, including the reworked taxa. Intervals of biostratigraphic variation analysis are shown. Right: ratio of temperature indicator taxa and relative abundance of C. floridanus. Note that the scale bars are different in each case.

grating (1) its location 10 m above the C18r/C18n reversal in the Latas section and (2) the thickness of the whole C18n2n in Yebra de Basa section, which is 391 m (Vinyoles et al., 2021). Since C18n2n lasts 84 kyr (Gradstein et al., 2020), the age of LO of S. obtusus would, at maximum, be a few thousand years younger than C18r/C18n, which would not be differentiated in most oceanic records that are never expanded in this way. In fact, such expanded sections would require further magnetostratigraphic studies to better characterize the magnetization process (delays, reversal timing, etc.). In any case, the Sabiñánigo Sandstone has a large potential for a detailed understanding of Middle Eocene bioevents. In our study, we document that the LO of S. obtusus is within C18n2n (ca. 39.866 Ma), which refines the uncertainties in the ODP Atlantic site 1052 (Fornaciari et al., 2010), where this bioevent was correlated with an interval of the core having an undetermined polarity.

5.2 Paleoenvironmental interpretation

Variation in nannofossil abundances and their distribution often reflects changes in the paleoenvironmental conditions of ocean surface waters like changes in temperature, nutrient supply, detrital input, and surface water salinity (Mutterlose et al., 2007). In this study, we focus on the control of seawater temperature on the original structure of nannoplankton, since the other factors controlling the distribution of calcareous nannofossils could be altered by the variability in the Sabiñánigo Sandstone depositional environment (deltaic environment).
The distribution of calcareous nannofossils along the Latas section clearly shows a pattern conditioned by the sedimentation regime. However, a temperate water and eutrophic assemblage and a warm-water and oligotrophic assemblage are identified.

The comparative record (Fig. 3) shows that the abundance pattern is dominantly from warm and oligotrophic waters throughout the formation, with a slight increase in the abundance of the temperate water nannoflora in the C–D intervals. Despite this, the nannoflora is dominantly from warm and oligotrophic waters showing higher diversity (species abundances) compared to the temperate water assemblage, and the significant levels of benthic foraminifers (Nummulites and Assilina) also confirm this environmental condition (Hallock, 1985).

The increase in the abundance pattern of surface temperate water assemblage can be explained by the presence of C. floridanus. The high record of individuals of C. floridanus (r-type opportunistic taxon) may be related to periods of eutrophication (Bown, 1998) rather than a temperature change.

5.3 Calcareous nannofossil assemblages and reworking

One of the significant characteristics of the calcareous nannofossil assemblages found in the Latas section is the high counts of reworked calcareous nannofossils throughout the succession (Fig. 3). The content of allochthonous taxa evidence slightly increases at each interval, moving forwards to the top (Fig. 3). These observations, together with the increase in the abundance of temperate and eutrophic water taxa in the C–D intervals, suggest that seaward-directed currents transported a large volume of reworked material into the ocean, including terrigenous sediments.

This increase in terrigenous sediment can be attributed to an accelerated hydrological cycle that intensified weathering and runoff on land. This scenario of an accelerated hydrological cycle has been documented in several climate events during the Paleocene and Eocene, especially in hyperthermals, which are brief episodes of abrupt global warming (Aubry, 1998; Wing et al., 2005; Held and Soden, 2006; Foreman et al., 2012; Boscolo Galazzo et al., 2013; Carlson and Ca-..
ballero, 2017; Intxauspe-Zubiaurre et al., 2017; Honegger et al., 2020).

The Middle Eocene climate optimum (MEO) is considered one of these hyperthermals that lasted ~750 kyr. It ranges from the upper part of chron C18r to subchron C18n.2n at 40–40.75 Ma (Bohaty et al., 2009; Boscolo Galazzo et al., 2014; Giorgini et al., 2019; Henehan et al., 2020; Gradstein et al., 2020). In agreement with our biostratigraphic scheme proposed in this study, the sedimentation of the Sabinanigo Sandstone Formation took place in this period. This determination agrees with a recent paleoclimate study by Peris-Cabrè et al. (2023), which analyzed the effects of the MEOC in the Tremp–Jaca Basin and proposed that the Sabinanigo Sandstone Formation represents the physical evidence of the MEOC in the region.

We have no clear evidence of the MEOC in the calcareous nannofossil assemblages in the Sabinanigo Sandstone Formation. However, an effect of this event could have been related to an intensification in the hydrological cycle that resulted in an increased supply of sediments to the ocean. This effect could be recorded in this formation with the high percentage of reworked taxa (around half of the total taxa). Furthermore, the increased terrigenous influx was almost certainly caused by an increase in fluvial freshwater supply into the ocean, which may have altered the salinity and density in shallow seawater and resulted in water column stratification. In addition, the increased continental input could boost nutrient levels leading to eutrophication in shallow seawater (McGonigal and Wise, 2001; Spofforth et al., 2010; Toffanin et al., 2011; Deflandre et al., 2004; Deflandre et al., 2010, where this bioevent was correlated with an interval of the core that had an undetermined polarity.

The paleoenvironmental analysis in the Latas section suggests an environment of warm and oligotrophic waters for the Bartonian period in the Jaca Basin. In addition, this study allowed us to recognize possible eutrophication events characterized by the high record of *C. floridanus*. The eutrophication periods were possibly caused by intensified continental input and freshwater supply to the sea due to the accelerated hydrological cycle.

An effect of the Middle Eocene climate optimum (MEOC) could be recorded in the Sabinanigo Sandstone Formation and expressed by a high proportion of reworked taxa throughout the Latas section. This could result from intensification of the hydrological cycle on land, intensified weathering, runoff, and increased continental sediment and freshwater supply to the sea.

### Appendix A: Taxonomic references

The following lists include all taxa (autochthonous and reworked) present in the Latas section and cited in the paper. The references used for the identification of the taxa are indicated in Sect. 3, and the Nannotax website also includes a bibliography giving all the taxonomic references cited in this list.

#### A1 Identified taxa

- **Blackites Hay and Towe 1962**
  - *Blackites inversus* (Bukry and Bramlette, 1969)
  - *Blackites stilus* Bown and Newsam 2017
  - *Blackites subtilis* Bown and Newsam 2017
  - *Blackites tenuis* (Bramlette and Sullivan, 1961)
  - Sherwood 1974
  - *Braarudosphaera* Deflandre 1947
  - *Braarudosphaera bigelowii* (Gran and Braarud 1935)
  - Deflandre 1947
  - *Braarudosphaera sequela* Self-Trail 2011

- **Chiasmolithus Hay et al. 1966**
  - *Chiasmolithus medius* Perch-Nielsen, 1971
  - *Chiasmolithus grandis* (Bramlette and Riedel 1954)
  - Radomski 1968
  - *Chiasmolithus modestus* Perch-Nielsen, 1971
  - *Chiasmolithus solitus* (Bramlette and Sullivan 1961)
  - Locker 1968

---
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**Clausicoccus** Prins, 1979

*Clausicoccus fenestratus* (Deflandre and Fert 1954) Prins 1979

*Coccolithus* Schwartz 1894

*Coccolithus formosus* (Kamptner 1963) Wise 1973

*Coccolithus pelagicus* (Wallich 1877) Schiller 1930

*Cribrocentrum* Perch-Nielsen, 1971

*Cribrocentrum reticulatum* (Gartner and Smith 1967) Perch-Nielsen 1971

*Cyclicargolithus* Bukry 1971

*Cyclicargolithus floridanus* (Roth and Hay, in Hay et al. 1967) Bukry 1977

*Discoaster* Tan 1927

*Discoaster barbadiensis* Tan 1927

*Discoaster gemmifer* Stradner 1961

*Discoaster binodosus* Martini 1958

*Discoaster tanii* Bramlette and Riedel 1954

*Discoaster williamsii* Bown and Dunkley Jones 2012

*Helicosphaera* Kamptner 1954

*Helicosphaera brasileirae* (Müller 1970) Jafar and Martini 1975

*Micrantholithus* (Deflandre in Deflandre and Fert 1954) Bown 2005

*Pemma* Klumpp 1953

*Pemma basquense* (Martini 1959) Báldi-Beke 1971

*Reticulofenestra* Hay et al. 1966


*Reticulofenestra bisecta* (Hay, Mohler and Wade, 1966) Roth, 1970

*Reticulofenestra stavnensis* (Levin and Joerger, 1967) Varol, 1989

*Reticulofenestra umbilicus* (Levin 1965) Martini and Ritzkowski 1968

*Sphenolithus* Deflandre in Grasse 1952

*Sphenolithus moriformis* (Bronnimann and Stradner 1960) Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967

*Sphenolithus radians* Deflandre in Grasse 1952

*Sphenolithus spiniger* Bukry 1971

*Sphenolithus obtusus* Bukry 1971

*Zygrhablithus* Deflandre 1959

*Zygrhablithus bijugatus* (Deflandre in Deflandre and Fert 1954) Deflandre, 1959

**A2 Cenozoic reworked taxa**

*Chiasmolithus* Hay et al. 1966

*Chiasmolithus bidens* (Bramlette and Sullivan 1961) Hay and Mohler 1967

*Discoaster* Tan 1927

*Discoaster araneus* Bukry 1971

*Discoaster backmanii* Agnini et al. 2008

*Discoaster lodoensis* Bramlette and Riedel 1954

*Discoaster multiradiatus* Bramlette and Riedel 1954

*Prinsius* Hay and Mohler 1967


*Toweius* Hay and Mohler 1967

*Toweius gammation* Romein 1979

*Toweius pertusus* (Sullivan 1965) Romein 1979

*Tribrachiatus* Shamrai 1963

*Tribrachiatus orthostylus* Shamrai 1963

**A3 Mesozoic reworked taxa**

*Arkhangelskiella* Bezkhina 1959

*Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis* Vekshina 1959

*Broinsonia* Bukry 1969

*Cretarhabdus* Bramlette and Martini 1964

*Cruciplacolithus* Hay and Mohler in Hay et al. 1967

*Cruciplacolithus edwardsii* Romein 1979

*Eiffellithus* Reinhardt 1965

*Eprolithus* Stover 1966

*Eprolithus rarus* Varol 1992

*Microrhabdulus* Deflandre 1959

*Microrhabdulus undosus* Perch-Nielsen 1973
Manivitella Thierstein 1971

Manivitella pemmatoidea (Deflandre in Manivit 1965)
Thierstein, 1971

Micula Vekshina 1959

Placozygus Hoffman 1970

Placozygus spiralis (Bramlette and Martini 1964)
Hoffmann 1970

Russellia Risatti 1973

Russellia laswellii Risatti 1973

Tetrapodorhabdus Black 1971

Tetrapodorhabdus decorus (Deflandre in Deflandre and Fert 1954) Wind and Wise 1983

Watznaueria Reinhardt 1964

Watznaueria barnesiae (Black in Black and Barnes 1959)
Perch-Nielsen 1968

Zeugrhabdotus Reinhardt 1965

Zeugrhabdotus embergeri (Noël 1959)
Perch-Nielsen 1984
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